LIC San Diego OIA-430 CHECKLIST: Staff Quality Improvement Assessment					
UC San Diego	NUMBER	DĂTE	PAGE		
INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD ADMINISTRATION	OIA-430	12/21/2023	1 of 1		
The purpose of this checklist is to allow Office of IRB Administration (OIA) to conduct a quality improvement assessment of OIA staff who serve as					
designated reviewers; make exempt, not human subjects research (NHSR), or not engaged determinations; or support IRB review. This checklist, or					
equivalent, is to be used. It does not have to completed or retained.					
OIA Staff <u>Designated Reviewer</u>					
Submissions Selected for Assessment					
Name of Person Completing Checklist					
Date Assessment Completed					
1 Review Requirements					
Yes No		the review assignment match the fina		npt, expedited)?	
Yes No	Was the appropriate con	Was the appropriate committee selected in the review assignment?			
🗌 Yes 🔲 No	Is the OIA staff member appropriately listed as admin reviewer and/or primary reviewer in the review				
assignment?					
Yes No N/A For <u>non-committee reviews</u> , was the submission assigned to a meeting date after the date of					
Yes No	approval/determination?				
│		Was the correct review type identified? Were the correct agencies identified as having regulatory oversight based on the electronic submission system			
	application?				
Yes No N/A					
	expedited categories been selected?				
Yes No N/A	Have any required special determinations been identified (e.g. children, HIPAA waiver, etc.) based on the				
	electronic submission system application?				
Yes No	Are the appropriate determinations in the determination letter, or equivalent?				
Yes No N/A	Were all action items/review comments resolved?				
Yes No		Does the determination letter, or equivalent, use the appropriate OIA template language?			
☐ Yes ☐ No	Has the determination letter, or equivalent, appropriately modified the OIA template language to reflect the relevant determinations?				
Yes No N/A	Have notes to file, or equivalent, been added/updated to include any relevant information for future reviewers?				
	(e.g. expedited category 9 determination, IRB assignment, relevant determinations, etc.)				
2 Review Efficiency					
Indicate the number of days between assignment to <u>designated reviewer</u> and first review:					
Indicate the number of days between resubmission after first analyst review and action (i.e. request revisions, assign to IRB, approve, accept):					
Add additional lines as necessary for multiple rounds of review and revision.					
Indicate number of days between meeting date and determination communication:					
Add additional lines as necessary for multiple rounds of review and revision.					